

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/550,584	SHINOZAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vinod Kumar	1638	

**All Participants:**

(1) Vinod Kumar.

(2) Oleg Ioselevich (Reg. No. 41,240).

**Date of Interview:** 22 August 2007

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** Allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 11 am

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1-6, 10-13, 20-21*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)